

Farm Survey (Documentation) ClimateFarming

2022-1-DE02-KA220-VET-000090163

Provided by: Triebwerk

Date: May 2023; Version March 2024





Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.





Farm Survey

Documentation form

Alena Holzknecht ¹ , Nils Tolle ²	, Janos Wack¹	
Contact		
Name		
Address		
E-Mail		
Telephone		
1. General farm	informatio	n 🌱
Total farm area [ha]		
Production branches		
Certifications		□ yes □ no
(EU-organic, other organic,	etc.)	if yes, please specify:
Marketing / sales channels		
Other on-farm establishme	nts	
Farm location within region	<u> </u>	
Main soil type & texture		
Wind (direction, peak veloc	ities)	
Precipitation [mm]		
(mean, min, max, per season,	peaks)	

TRIEBWERK - Regenerative Land- und Agroforstwirtschaft UG Im Rothenbach 49, D-37290 Meißner https://www.triebwerk-landwirtschaft.de/

¹ <u>kontakt@triebwerk-landwirtschaft.de</u>





Temperature [°0						
(mean, min, max,						
Average amoun	t of days < 0°C pe	er year				
Experienced/ his events	storic extreme we	ather	☐ yes		no specify:	
Personal estima	ation of future clin	natic				
Vulnerable sites	s within farm					
1.1. Farm o	verview 🜟 Own property leased [ha]	/ [ha]/	Total [ha]	Nun	nber of fields	Remarks
Arable land	leaseu [lia]					
Grassland						
Vegetables						
Orchards				+		
Other perennials				+		
Forestry				-		
Crop	ning					
Culture(s)/ Rotati		 a [ha]		Viol	d [t/ha]	Marketing
Culture(s)/ Notati	OII Ale	ı [iia]		TICK	i [t/iia]	IVIAIRECIII
Anim	nals					
Species	Amount	Hus	bandry sys	tem	Output	Market
Source of animal fee	ed:					
If applicable, grazing system:						
grazing system:		P. docis	ion mak	ing 🍑)	





Lease agreements, generation changes or farm transfers				
Other involved parties for decision making				
1.3. Workforce, facilities and mac	hir	nery 🌱		
Staff per production branch				
Training and education of persons involved at the farm				
Special knowledge and skills				
Additional workforce				
Facilities				
Machinery				
Agricultural contractors				
1.4. Economic background 🌱				
Economic situation				
Average farm investment sum (5-year period)				
Planned/ necessary expenditures				
Relative contribution of branches to income				
1.5. Climate change 🜟				
Farm climate balance		\square available	\square planned	\square neither
Observed climatic changes				
 Climate mitigation measures				
Climate adaptation measures				





1.6. Formulation of goals and priorities

How important are	Very Important	Important	Positive side effect	Not important
Economic performance				
Providing a livelihood for yourself/ family/ employees				
Diverse product range				
Self-sufficiency				
Higher yields				
Local/ heritage varieties				
Processing				
Biodiversity				
Biotope connectivity				
Promoting beneficial insects/animals				
Wind protection				
Improving soil health/ soil quality				
Preventing soil compaction				
Improving water balance (on landscape level)				
Preventing nutrient leaching				
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions / climate mitigation				
Carbon storage				
Climate adaptation				
Shade for animals				
Fodder quality				
Scenery/ landscape design				
Independence from external inputs				





	Other:				
--	--------	--	--	--	--

2. Site Assessment		
2.1. General information 🌱		
Site name		
Lot number / Site ID		
Site location		
GPS coordinates		
Site area [ha]		
Land manager		
Current land use		
Vegetation/ crops		
Distance from main production facilities [km]		
Means of transport & time needed		
Relevance of site within farm		
Reasonable intervals for management/		
observations		
Reasons for choosing this site		
Zonation short explanation:		
(Please attach sketch with GPS coordinates of zon	es)	
Per zone:		
GPS coordinates/ Zone map:		
Characterize zone:		Zone ID:

2.2. Management history 🌱

Sample IDs:

Previous farm manager(s)	
Crops /-rotations	
Amendments, incl. crop residues	
Tillage regime	



Wetland areas, ponds

Depressions, hills



the European Union	MRMINU
Machinery use	
Other practices	
Carta. produces	I
2.3. Protection status 🌱	
Any/ which protection status?	
Influence on farming decisions	
2.4. Climate/weather 🌱	
Wind (direction, peak velocities)	
Precipitation [mm]	
(mean, min, max, per season, peaks)	
Temperature [°C]	
(mean, min, max, per season) Average hours of sunlight per year	
Average amount of days < 0°C	
Local climate projections	
Experienced/ historic extreme weather	
events	
Personal estimation of future climatic	
tendencies	
Vulnerable sites within farm	
2.5. Topography & terrain (Altitude [m a.s.l.] Slope inclination, exposition Sunlight, shade, rain	\(\)
Surface runoff, erosion areas	
2.6. Landscape elements, composite () Waterlogging / Infiltration Compacted areas	action, drainage & surrounding vegetation
Drainage structures	
Water table [m]	
vvater table [iii]	
Trees, shrubs, other perennials	



..............



Power lines, pipes, underground cables	
Phenological indicators	
Species composition	
→ Plant communities	
🜟 Growth rate, yield	
2.7. Existing cultures ❤️ (★)	
Field journal	□ yes □ no
Diseases, pests	
Root or harvest residues	
Height & uniformity of cultures	
Yield	
Deficiencies, excess	
Phenological development stages	
🜟 Grasses: tillering rates	
🜟 Brix level of leafsap	
Micro-, macronutrients of leafsap	
🜟 Indicator plants:	
- nitrogen	
- water	
- compaction	
- salt	
2.8. Issues & optimisation 🌱	
Microclimate (e.g. late frosts)	
Weeds or pests	
Erosion (water/ wind)	
Water balance/ management	
Biodiversity	
Wildlife	
Others	





3. Soil Assessment

Authors:		
Weather: -		ر کی
Air temperature:	°C	
3.1. Visual Soil	Assessment an	d Extended Spade Test 🌱
3.1.1. Surface anal	ysis	
□ wheel tracks □ w □	vind erosion 🛭 w crusting	vater erosion (rills/gullies) □ surface g □
Ground cover: □ <3	30% 🗆 30-70%	□ >70%
.1.2. Organic mat	ter, root and h	arvest residues
		arvest residues
3.1.2. Organic mat ☐ none ☐ little ☐ mo	derate 🗆 many	
□ none □ little □ mo	derate 🗆 many	arvest residues
□ none □ little □ mo	derate 🗆 many	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe: 3.1.3. Soil structure	derate 🗆 many	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe:	e assessment	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe: B.1.3. Soil structure Horizon	e assessment	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe: B.1.3. Soil structure Horizon Surface (0-2) cm	e assessment	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe: B.1.3. Soil structure Horizon Surface (0-2) cm Topsoil (0-15 cm) Subsoil (15-30 cm)	e assessment Score	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe: B.1.3. Soil structure Horizon Surface (0-2) cm Topsoil (0-15 cm)	e assessment Score	
□ none □ little □ mo Describe: B.1.3. Soil structure Horizon Surface (0-2) cm Topsoil (0-15 cm) Subsoil (15-30 cm) B.1.4. Root assessr	e assessment Score ment:	Notes





3.1.5. Aggregate stability test / Slaking test

Horizon	# stable aggregates	# completely slaked aggregates	% stable aggregates	Notes
Topsoil (0-15 cm)				
Subsoil (15-30 cm)				Ö

3.1.6. Assessment Score

$$Soil\ structure\ index\ =\ \left(\frac{soil\ score_{topsoil}\times aggregate\ stability_{topsoil}}{2}\right) +\ \left(\frac{soil\ score_{subsoil}\times aggregate\ stability_{subsoil}}{2}\right)$$

Zone ID	Horizon	Root score	Soil structure score	% stable aggregates	Overall soil structure index
	Surface (0-1 cm)				
	Topsoil (0-15 cm)				
	Subsoil (15-30 cm)				
	Total (=Topsoil + Subsoil)				

3.2. Root indicators

- White root tips: □ none □ few □ moderate □ many □ all								
- Soil attached to roots: □ none □ little □ moderate □ a lot								
- Smell: \square pleasant/earthy \square foul/putrid/rotten eggs \square fungal/ fresh forest soil \square like the plantation (e.g. carrots) \square no smell (also not earthy) \square other, describes \square								
- Root nodules on legumes (per plant): □ none □ few □ moderate □ many □ on every root								
$ ightarrow$ nodule colour on the inside: \square reddish/pink \square greyish green or brown \square other describe:								
- Root orientation/ root barriers (mechanical/ chemical):								





_ \/ic	ible Mycorrhizae: □ none □ few □ moderate □ many
- VIS	ible Mycorriizae.
Space	ce for additional notes:
 R€	emember to:
	draw a map of zones within every field
•	take pictures of the soil pits with a measuring tape
•	take soil samples and note sample IDs
🏹 Ti	me needed to assess this zone:
★ Fe	or best-case scenario, continue:
	or best-case scenario, continue: Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) 🌟
3.3. 9	
3.3. So Coar	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) 🌟
3.3. S	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) ★ se: □ sand □ loamy sand □ clayey sand
3.3. S Coar Medi	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) se: □ sand □ loamy sand □ clayey sand fum: □ sandy loam* □ silt or silt loam □ loam
3.3. S Coar Medi	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) se: sand loamy sand clayey sand um: sandy loam* silt or silt loam loam sandy clay loam silty clay loam clay loam
3.3. S Coar Medi Fine:	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) se: sand loamy sand clayey sand fum: sandy loam* silt or silt loam loam sandy clay loam silty clay loam clay loam sandy clay silty clay clay
3.3. S Coar Medi Fine:	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) se: sand loamy sand clayey sand fum: sandy loam* silt or silt loam loam sandy clay loam silty clay loam clay loam sandy clay silty clay clay *m
3.3. S Coar Medi Fine:	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) se: sand loamy sand clayey sand sum: sandy loam* silt or silt loam loam sandy clay loam silty clay loam clay loam sandy clay silty clay clay *m Other Soil indicators *
3.3. S Coar Medi Fine: 3.4. C - Car	Soil texture (Soil Ribbon Test) se: sand loamy sand clayey sand sum: sandy loam* silt or silt loam loam sandy clay loam silty clay loam clay loam sandy clay silty clay clay *m Other Soil indicators honate testing: no bubbling only audible slight bubbling stro





- Mottles: \square none	 □ gray/blue/gree			
%				
- Soil pit: describe and ske	etch:			
depth of A-horizon:	cm			
- Compaction: ☐ yes ☐ ne	o; if yes, at which	depth: c	m/ cr	m/ c
- Soil depth: Groundwater depth:		lepth:	cm,	
- Volumetric stone conte	ent:	%		
Space for additional not	es:			
Space for additional not	es:			
Space for additional not	es:			
3.5. Earthworms 🜟				
		n of soil:		
3.5. Earthworms 🜟		n of soil:		
3.5. Earthworms 🜟		n of soil:		





Inf	iltratior	n rate:							
Ö	Time	needed _ min.	to	assess	this	zone	(base+best-case	scenario):	 _ +